IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 24864 of 2004

Between:

Datla Padmavathi, W/o. Venkatapathi Raju,
R/o. Laxmi Narasapuram Village, Anaparthi (M),
East Godavari District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Addl. Agent to the Government, East Godavari District at Rampachodavaram.
2 The Special Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Rampachodavaram, East Godavari District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajavommangi (M), East Godavari District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 2 & 3 in evicting the petitioner from the lands to an extent of Ac.8-93 cents in Sy.Nos. 43/2, 119/1 and 43/1 Dusaripamu (V), Rajavommangi (M), East Godavari District, in pursuance of the orders in LT.R.P. Nos. 175/03, 176/03, 179/03 and 180/03 passed by the 2nd respondent as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently direct the respondents not to evict the petitioner from the above land pending disposal of the appeal preferred before the 1st respondent in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.K.VENKATESH

Counsel for Respondents : G.P. FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

-

ORDER:

-

The grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is that he filed appeals before the first respondent on 8.12.2004 against the common order passed by the second respondent in L.T.R.P.Nos. 175, 176, 179 and 180 of 2003 dated 10.6.2004, but the first respondent neither considered the same nor passed any orders so far and hence aggrieved by the inaction of the first respondent, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue and both of them agreed for disposal of the writ petition at the stage of admission.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the first respondent is directed to consider the appeals filed by the petitioner on 8.12.2004 against the common order passed by the second respondent in L.T.R.P.Nos. 175, 176, 179 and 180 of 2003 dated 10.6.2004 and shall dispose of the same in accordance with law, expeditiously, if not already disposed of. Till such time, Status quo existing as on today, shall be maintained.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Date: 30.12.2004

TVK/DA

To

1 The Addl. Agent to the Government, East Godavari District at Rampachodavaram.
2 The Special Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Rampachodavaram, East Godavari District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajavommangi (M), East Godavari District.

4 Two CCs to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two CD copies.